



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,241	01/22/2001	Brian A. Kapitan	89664.127500	1462
75	90 05/28/2004		EXAM	INER
Thomas R. FitzGerald			CARDONE, JASON D	
JAECKLE FLE	ISCHMANN & MUGEL	, LLP		
39 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14614-1310			2142	· · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	, >

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/767,241	KAPITAN, BRIAN A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jason D Cardone	2142			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[又]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	anuary 2001				
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-20 is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ser No(s)/Mail Date 2.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: <u>See Attached</u>	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2142

1 ,- "

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chak et al. ("Chak"), USPN 6,038,967, in view of Kalkunte, USPN 5,963,560.

 Regarding claim 1, Chak discloses a method of communicating within a motion control system, the method comprising the steps of:

programming a plurality of drive cards to perform predetermined mathematical and logical functions in response to high-level commands [Chak, col. 17, lines 15-34 and col. 18, lines 40-59];

configuring each of the plurality of drive cards [Chak, col. 18, lines 4-21]; distributing intelligence throughout the motion control system by electrically interconnecting each of the plurality of drive cards with a local area network, each of the plurality of drive cards being further electrically connected to a corresponding component of the motion control system [Chak, col. 1, line 63 – col. 2, line 9 and col. 17, lines 15-34];

transmitting to the plurality of drive cards the high-level commands across the local area network; response to the high-level commands by each respective one of the

Art Unit: 2142

plurality of drive cards; responding to the high-level commands by each of the plurality of drive cards [Chak, col. 18, lines 4-59].

Chak does not specifically disclose configuring each of the plurality of drive cards with a respective unique predetermined delay time; delaying response to the high-level commands and temporarily suspending communication over the local area network following response by the plurality of drive cards to the high-level commands to thereby ensure deterministic communication over the local area network. However, Kalkunte, in the same field of endeavor, discloses configuring a plurality of interface units with a respective unique predetermined delay time; delaying response to the high-level commands and temporarily suspending communication over the local area network following response by the plurality of interface units to the high-level commands to thereby ensure deterministic communication over the local area network [Kalkunte, col. 3, lines 31-65 and col. 4, lines 44-63]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention was made, to incorporate a predetermined delay interval, taught by Kalkunte, into the drive cards, taught by Chak, in order to efficiently access the network [Kalkunte, col. 1, lines 9-11].

3. Regarding claim 2, Chak-Kalkunte further discloses the local area network comprises an Ethernet network [Chak, col. 1, line 63 – col. 2, line 9] [Kalkunte, col. 1, lines 14-40].

Art Unit: 2142

- 4. Regarding claim 3, Chak-Kalkunte further discloses each the drive card includes a network controller, microprocessor, packet memory, memory, and firmware [Chak, col. 18, lines 40-59] [Kalkunte, col. 3, line 37 col. 4, line 34].
- 5. Regarding claim 4, Chak-Kalkunte further discloses the transmission of data packets across the local area network [Chak, col. 18, lines 4-21] [Kalkunte, col. 3, lines 31-65].
- 6. Regarding claim 5, Chak-Kalkunte further discloses the transmitting step comprises a personal computer transmitting the data packets [Chak, col. 18, lines 4-21] [Kalkunte, col. 4, lines 44-63].
- 7. Regarding claim 6, Chak-Kalkunte further discloses the personal computer includes an operating system, the operating system being one of a non-real-time operating system and a real-time operating system [Chak, col. 17, lines 15-34 and col. 18, lines 40-59] [Kalkunte, col. 3, lines 31-65].
- 8. Regarding claim 7, Chak-Kalkunte further discloses the personal computer includes motion control software running under the operating system [Chak, col. 17, lines 15-34] [Kalkunte, col. 3, lines 31-65].

Art Unit: 2142

- 9. Regarding claims 8 and 9, Chak-Kalkunte further discloses selectively storing within each of the plurality of drive cards the transmitted data packets, monitoring with the personal computer the level of transmitted data packets stored within each of the plurality of drive cards [Chak, col. 17, lines 15-34 and col. 18, lines 40-59] [Kalkunte, col. 8, line 46 col. 9, lines 32].
- 10. Regarding claims 11 and 12, Chak-Kalkunte further discloses establishing promiscuous peer-to-peer communication within the local area network whereby the responses of each respective one of the plurality of drive cards to a high-level command is received by each of the others of the plurality of drive cards, within each respective one of the plurality of drive cards the responses to the high-level commands from each of the others of the plurality of drive cards; determining the relevance of each of the responses stored within each of the plurality of drive cards; and discarding non-relevant responses stored within each of the plurality of drive cards [Chak, col. 17, lines 15-34 and col. 18, lines 40-59] [Kalkunte, col. 5, lines 16-57].
- 11. Regarding claims 13-20, claims 13-20 have similar limitations as disclosed in claims 1-9. Therefore, the similar limitations are disclosed under Chak-Kalkunte for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-9 [Supra 1-9].

Art Unit: 2142

Page 6

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason D Cardone whose telephone number is (703) 305-8484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu. (9AM-6PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason D Cardone
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2142